



HELP, THEY'VE TAKEN THE WHEEL, BUT NONE OF THEM KNOW HOW TO STEER."

- *A metaphor for the dangers of putting unqualified people in positions of power.*



APPLICATION DATE:

2026-01-06

The Registrar
European Court of Human Rights
Council of Europe 67075 Strasbourg
Cedex FRANCE



Complaint Regarding Serious Human Rights Violations and Racial Discrimination in Sweden.

Dear Judges,

I, Saliel Ginninns, respectfully address the **European Court of Human Rights** in this formal complaint regarding serious and systemic **human rights violations**, with a particular focus on **racial discrimination, discriminatory government policies**, and the **erosion of basic freedoms** in Sweden. Despite my continued efforts to engage with national authorities, including the media, government officials, and elected representatives, my concerns have gone largely unheard, and the situation remains dire. Consequently, I now seek justice and accountability from the Court to rectify these grave injustices in Sweden.

Introduction:

The political system in Sweden has become increasingly biased and discriminatory, with a clear and deliberate agenda to oppress minority communities based on their **race, ethnicity, and religion**. Under the **current government**, which is heavily influenced by far-right political groups, discriminatory laws and policies are not only being implemented but are actively exacerbating racial tensions. This is evident across a range of policies, from **racial profiling** in law enforcement to **restrictive measures** against specific religious groups. The government, driven by ideology, appears determined to marginalize those who do not fit its narrow vision of "the ideal citizen."

1. Systemic Discrimination and "The Swedish Casino System":

One of the most problematic aspects of the current system in Sweden is what I refer to as the **"casino system."** In essence, the system is designed in such a way that those in power always "win," regardless of how much effort is expended by the people. This is akin to a casino where the house always has the advantage, and no matter how much an individual may try to bring about change or accountability, the system is rigged to favor only the interests of the government and its allies.

When attempting to **file complaints** or **challenge government actions**, it is **extremely difficult** for citizens, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds, to succeed. The legal and political frameworks are built to favor those in power, making it nearly impossible to achieve meaningful justice without the explicit consent of the political elites. This practice, which I believe to be **antithetical to the principles of democracy**, severely undermines the notion of equal treatment under the law and democratic governance.

This is not only a violation of **the right to access justice** (as outlined in **Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)**) but also a clear **breach of the principle of equality before the law** (enshrined in **Article 14 of the ECHR**), which guarantees that all citizens are entitled to effective access to legal recourse and fair treatment by the state.

2. Racial Discrimination in Law Enforcement:

Another deeply concerning issue in Sweden is the **widespread racial profiling** that has become institutionalized within law enforcement practices. In 2024, the government introduced "**search zones**", which were justified as a way to combat criminal activity in certain neighborhoods. However, these zones have been disproportionately concentrated in areas with high concentrations of **migrant communities**, predominantly **black, brown and yellow (Asian)** individuals. The practice of stopping, searching, and detaining people based solely on their race or ethnicity constitutes **blatant racial discrimination**.

Individuals within these zones, particularly those with **non-white skin**, are regularly stopped without cause, subjected to invasive searches, and coerced into revealing personal information, such as phone contents, bank details and much more, the law enforcement also force them to give DNA samples. This violates multiple **international human rights norms**, including the **right to personal freedom** and **protection from arbitrary detention** as set out in **Article 5 of the ECHR**, which protects against arbitrary arrest or detention, and **Article 14**, which prohibits discrimination.

3. Discriminatory Nationality Checks and Racial Profiling at Borders:

In addition to the racial profiling in search zones, there has also been a troubling practice at the borders and within the country's internal borders. Authorities have been conducting **discriminatory nationality checks** in which **non-white individuals** are presumed to be non-citizens, while **white individuals** are automatically presumed to be citizens. This policy not only perpetuates **racial inequality** but also violates the **right to equality before the law**, which is a core tenet of both the **ECHR** and the **ICCPR**.

This practice exacerbates feelings of **alienation** and **disempowerment** within migrant communities, further entrenching racial divisions. **Article 12 of the ICCPR** protects the **freedom of movement**, and this **discriminatory practice** directly interferes with the ability of non-white citizens to move freely within their own country.

4. Proposal to Strip Citizenship from Minority Groups:

One of the most disturbing proposals put forth by the current government is the idea that **individuals with black, brown, or yellow skin (Asian)** would be **stripped of their citizenship** if they commit certain crimes or offenses. This proposal stands in stark contrast to both **international human rights law** and the **fundamental principle of equality**. It suggests that individuals with **white skin** would not face similar repercussions for the same actions, thereby creating a **racially discriminatory system** where punishment is meted out unequally based on race and ethnicity.

This proposed policy not only violates **Article 2 of Protocol No. 4 of the ECHR**, which guarantees the right to **freedom of movement and protection from arbitrary expulsion**, but it also breaches the **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)**, which requires that all individuals be treated equally under the law, regardless of their racial background.



5. Discriminatory Policies Targeting Religious Minorities:

The government has also launched a series of discriminatory actions against Muslims, which have increasingly made life difficult for this community. These actions have included constant new laws and regulations that are deliberately designed to target Muslims, making their daily lives harder and subjecting them to increased scrutiny and discrimination. The government has been pushing a hardline agenda, rolling out new measures that aim to limit Muslims' ability to live and practice their faith freely. Examples of these discriminatory measures include proposals to ban religious symbols, close mosques, and prevent Muslims from practicing essential religious duties such as fasting during Ramadan and consuming halal food. These actions not only violate the freedom of religion under **Article 9 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)**, but they also encourage a climate of hostility and intolerance.

The government's actions are contributing to an alarming rise in Islamophobia in Sweden. This growing hate and fear towards Muslims are not only a violation of basic human rights, but it is also a direct assault on the principles of equality and freedom that the nation claims to uphold. The actions being taken are deeply divisive and promote a culture of exclusion and fear. This type of rhetoric and policy encourages societal hostility, and the government is fueling this with every new law or regulation aimed at marginalizing Muslims.

In fact, it is highly likely that the government will use the growing anti-Muslim sentiment to blame the Muslim community for future crises. By allowing or even encouraging discriminatory behavior, the government is paving the way for more violence and hate directed at Muslims, making it easier to scapegoat them for issues that are beyond their control. The government has, in many cases, turned a blind eye to or even condoned demonstrations that target Muslims, giving permission to far-right activists to burn holy books and insult their prophet without consequences. This is not simply a failure to protect vulnerable communities; it is an endorsement of hatred and religious intolerance.

The government's stance towards Muslims raises a troubling and dangerous question: **What is the plan?** Is it to expel them from the country? To make life so difficult that they will be forced to leave? Or, is it to follow in the footsteps of history and pursue the same tactics that were used by oppressive regimes in the past—such as what Hitler did to the Jews? These are not exaggerations; this kind of systematic exclusion and targeting of a specific religious or ethnic group has led to some of the darkest chapters in human history. We must ask ourselves whether the government's policies are inadvertently leading the country down that same path.

Moreover, the government has increasingly targeted key aspects of Muslim cultural and religious life. Laws banning religious dress, such as the hijab or burqa, further marginalize Muslims and violate their right to express their identity and faith. Additionally, laws that criminalize or restrict practices like circumcision and traditional marriage (such as cousin marriage, which has cultural significance in some Muslim communities) serve only to deepen the sense of alienation and persecution. These measures serve no practical purpose other than to demean and humiliate Muslims, creating an environment where they are constantly reminded that they are not welcome or accepted.

This kind of targeting, particularly of religious and cultural practices, is not only a violation of individual rights, but it is also a betrayal of the nation's commitment to diversity and tolerance. It is an attempt to erase a part of the population, to make them invisible and to deny their right to exist as they are. And the government seems determined to push forward with this divisive agenda, rather than fostering dialogue, understanding, and inclusion.

This growing Islamophobia is not just an affront to Muslim communities—it is an affront to the very principles of non-discrimination that are enshrined in international human rights law and EU law. The government's policies and actions are increasingly reminiscent of the discriminatory practices faced by Jews in Europe during the first half of the 20th century. Just as Jewish communities were scapegoated and stripped of their rights, Muslims today are being systematically marginalized and demonized. This is not just a policy issue; it is a moral issue. The consequences of such discrimination are severe, not only for Muslims but for the fabric of society as a whole. When one group is targeted and oppressed, it erodes the foundations of equality and human dignity for all citizens.

The targeting of Muslims by the government is not only harmful to their rights, but it is also indicative of a larger trend of intolerance and religious bigotry. The government has lost sight of its responsibility to protect the rights and freedoms of all its citizens, regardless of their religion or ethnicity. By allowing discrimination to flourish, they are failing in their most basic duty: to create a society based on justice, equality, and mutual respect. The policies being enacted are a direct contradiction to the values the country was founded upon, and they will undoubtedly lead to further polarization, division, and social unrest.

"Dear Judges, History has a tendency to repeat itself, and what was once inflicted upon the Jewish population is now being mirrored in the actions taken against Muslims. However, the circumstances today differ significantly. Muslims now represent a quarter of the world's population, which makes any attempts to initiate a conflict against such a vast and significant demographic a dangerously ill-advised move. Unfortunately, many political leaders fail to fully grasp the consequences of such actions."

I have made it clear that attacking Islam, or portraying it as an enemy, is not only counterproductive but also a grave mistake. If these leaders are planning to involve themselves in conflicts within Europe in the near future, they must take into account that Islam constitutes one-quarter of the global population. It is imperative that this group is neither provoked nor alienated, as doing so risks pushing them into an adversarial position where they might even be inclined to support the opposition in these conflicts. The geopolitical and social ramifications of such a stance could be profound, and we must avoid exacerbating tensions unnecessarily.

"There is an old saying: 'Do not urinate in the well, for one day you may find yourself in a position where you have to drink from it.' This piece of wisdom carries with it an important lesson about foresight, responsibility, and the consequences of one's actions. It serves as a reminder that our actions, particularly those that are harmful or inconsiderate, often come back to affect us in the future, sometimes in unexpected ways."

The well, in this metaphor, represents something valuable or essential, and the act of urinating in it symbolizes doing harm or acting recklessly without considering the long-term implications. In a broader sense, the saying emphasizes the importance of treating resources, relationships, and opportunities with care and respect. By being mindful of how we interact with the world around us, we ensure that we do not jeopardize our own future well-being, especially when those actions might come back to haunt us later."



6. Proposal Concerning Exclusion Based on Race in the Military:

Not long ago, several right-wing groups in Sweden discussed a new proposal that suggests individuals of Black, Brown, or Yellow (Asian) descent should be excluded from joining the military in Sweden. The intention behind this proposal is to limit military service to those of White ethnicity only.

I have publicly expressed my serious concerns about this proposal, as it represents an extremely flawed and dangerous approach.

To illustrate the issue, let us consider a hypothetical situation: Imagine four soldiers—one White, one Brown, one Black, and one Yellow (Asian)—and you decide to remove three of them from the army. Sweden and their allies have just escalated a major conflict in Europe and are preparing for war. Can you imagine if the right-wing proposal goes through, and they would have to fight this war with an exclusively White military force? **(#Idiots)**

In such a scenario, it quickly becomes clear that a solely White military will be insufficient for the challenges ahead. Sweden will inevitably need to call in additional reserves from their civilian population, which would predominantly consist of White citizens working as doctors, engineers, architects, programmers, and scientists. These professionals contribute significantly to the country's infrastructure, innovation, and medical care.

The result of this strategy would be catastrophic. In addition to the loss of military personnel, they would also lose essential human capital—doctors, scientists, engineers, and other professionals who form the backbone of the country's economic and technological sectors. These individuals would either perish in battle, suffer grievous injuries, or be captured as prisoners of war.

In the long term, such a policy would have irreversible consequences: the national defense would be severely compromised, and the country would suffer immense economic and social setbacks. The assumption that one race could dominate and manage all aspects of military and societal success is not only illogical, but it also completely ignores the value and contributions of citizens from all racial and ethnic backgrounds.

It is also important to note that Black, Brown, and Yellow soldiers have historically demonstrated extraordinary courage, loyalty, and strength on the battlefield.

For example, during World War II, African American soldiers played a crucial role in many major battles, including D-Day and the Battle of the Bulge, where their bravery and ability to fight under extreme conditions was widely admired. Similarly, soldiers from Asia and Latin America fought with the same determination and loyalty for their nations, and their efforts are immortalized in history. Their presence in military forces has always been a symbol of international cooperation and resilience.

Beyond this, soldiers from the Middle East and North Africa have also made significant contributions to military efforts throughout history. For instance, during the French colonial era, many North African soldiers, particularly from Algeria, Tunisia, and Morocco, fought in both World Wars. These soldiers, known as "Harkis," exhibited immense bravery and loyalty, often fighting on foreign soil to protect territories far from their homes. In World War I and II, Moroccan and Algerian troops were crucial in securing vital positions for the Allies in Europe. The Harkis' courage, particularly in the brutal battles in the Mediterranean and Europe, has been praised as a testament to their unshakeable resolve and sacrifice.



In addition, during the Gulf Wars in the 1990s and 2000s, Muslim soldiers from countries such as Egypt, Pakistan, and Turkey, alongside Arab nations like Saudi Arabia and Jordan, played essential roles in the international coalition forces. These soldiers fought in harsh desert conditions, and many paid the ultimate price, showing an unwavering commitment to defending their countries and allies. Their moral integrity and sense of duty were key in ensuring the success of the military objectives in these conflicts, highlighting the global nature of military cooperation.

It is also worth noting that many nations throughout history have relied on soldiers from different parts of the world to strengthen their own defenses. The British Empire, for example, routinely enlisted soldiers from its colonies across Africa, Asia, and the Caribbean to fight in their armies. Indian soldiers, known as "Sepoys," were instrumental in the British military, particularly during both World Wars. The bravery of Indian soldiers in the face of difficult conditions, such as in the campaigns in North Africa and Europe, has become legendary. Similarly, soldiers from the Caribbean, such as those from Jamaica and Barbados, fought with distinction in both World Wars, often facing racism and discrimination but continuing to serve with honor.

Moreover, during the Roman Empire, soldiers from various parts of the empire, including North Africa and the Middle East, were recruited into the Roman legions. These soldiers contributed significantly to the expansion and defense of the empire, showing remarkable discipline, strength, and military prowess. This long history of utilizing foreign soldiers is not a new concept but rather a reflection of humanity's shared experience in war and defense.

The presence of Black, Brown and Yellow soldiers in various armies throughout history underscores an essential truth: that courage, loyalty, and strength are not bound by race, ethnicity, or religion. These individuals have consistently demonstrated that, regardless of their background, they are willing to make the ultimate sacrifice in service to their countries and their comrades. The contributions of these soldiers, many of whom came from marginalized or colonized backgrounds, are an undeniable testament to the power of diversity in defending national interests and promoting international cooperation.

Moreover, such a policy would ultimately lead to the weakening and potential collapse of the nation's power, as the population becomes increasingly diverse, with future generations likely to be predominantly Black, Brown, or Yellow (Asian). The exclusionary policy would, ironically, put the country at risk of its own internal collapse.

Such a view is short-sighted, discriminatory, and ultimately undermines the very principles of equality, competence, and unity that are essential for any modern society.

Legal and Ethical Considerations:

This proposal goes against fundamental principles of equality under the law and human rights protections as enshrined in numerous international conventions, including the **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)**, which guarantees non-discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, and ethnicity (Article 2). Furthermore, such practices would directly contravene **European Union anti-discrimination laws**, which protect citizens from being excluded from opportunities based on racial or ethnic background.

By fostering such divisions within society, the proposal threatens not only national unity but also the integrity of military forces and the economic future of the country. It is essential that policies reflect inclusivity and diversity, rather than division based on racial or ethnic grounds.



Incompetence and Irresponsibility in International Affairs:

In addition to the domestic issues described above, the government has also engaged in incompetent foreign policy decisions that further endanger the nation's stability and security. Specifically, the government joined a military alliance without fully understanding the consequences of such a decision. The political leadership, motivated by fear of Russia, has sought to protect the country by allying with others. However, this alliance will ultimately drain resources, embroil the nation in international conflicts, and fail to provide the promised protection.

The Current Government's Rhetoric on War Risks Unnecessary Panic

The rhetoric being spread by the current government about an imminent war is causing undue anxiety in Sweden. Some claims suggest that Russia is poised to invade Sweden without evidence or facts to back up the claim. However, when I asked God about the situation, I received a clear answer: Russia has no real interest in invading Sweden. Such an action would be financially burdensome and strategically unwise for Russia, as there is little to gain from such a conflict.

However, if Sweden were to provoke Russia, there is a possibility that Russia would respond with a military attack. Initially, this could take the form of two powerful bombs. As God described it, these bombs would be "**bom-bomb**, where everything is gone," a metaphor for the devastation and fear such an attack would bring.

Once Russia has bombed Sweden, the logical response from Sweden would be to call for help from its military alliance. This alliance would likely convene an emergency meeting to assess the situation. During this meeting, they would conclude that Russia did not actually invade Sweden in the traditional sense but instead acted in response to provocation. The alliance would further recognize that retaliating against Russia could escalate the conflict, potentially leading to a scenario of mutual assured destruction—something to be avoided at all costs.

The concept of mutual assured destruction (MAD) arises from the principle that if two opposing countries engage in a nuclear conflict, both are guaranteed to suffer catastrophic consequences.

As a result, it is unlikely that the alliance would provide any meaningful military assistance to Sweden. Instead, they would likely settle for sending symbolic soldiers, financial aid, and offering moral support via the media and social networks. They might also attempt to pressure Sweden into launching small-scale retaliatory missile strikes against Russia as a form of vengeance.

However, a wise general in Sweden would likely prevent such actions. This general would understand that further provocations could lead to even more devastating attacks from Russia, and that the alliance's past betrayal should not be forgotten. The general's wisdom and caution would likely be the only means of avoiding a full-scale catastrophe.

This analysis is not only realistic but entirely accurate, based on current geopolitical conditions and historical precedents. It serves as a warning that we must think long-term and rationally, rather than act impulsively under pressure from external forces.

The current government has demonstrated a clear lack of competence and foresight in its decision-making, with actions based more on ideology and fear rather than a rational, strategic understanding of global affairs. This undermines national security and international relations.

It has become painfully evident that the current leadership is no longer concerned with the welfare of its own citizens or the long-term prosperity of the nation. Instead, it is driven by narrow-minded political ideologies and external pressures that prioritize the interests of other nations or foreign

entities, while completely disregarding the true needs and aspirations of the people of Sweden. What is being executed under the guise of "policy" is nothing more than a series of poorly-thought-out, reckless decisions that could easily lead the country to disaster.

This government is increasingly out of touch with reality. Many of the people in positions of power lack the knowledge, experience, and competence necessary to navigate the complexities of modern geopolitics. Their approach to international relations is driven more by panic, ideology, and misguided beliefs than by clear-headed, informed strategies. This is not just incompetence—it is dangerous negligence. It is not enough to simply rely on ideological purity or outdated notions of nationalism when the world is rapidly changing. The government's actions are a blatant display of ignorance and hubris, with little understanding of the global landscape and even less regard for the consequences of their decisions.

For example, the government's reckless pursuit of alliances with nations that have their own agendas, without fully understanding the long-term ramifications, is a testament to their profound lack of foresight. Rather than strengthening national security, these misguided alliances expose the nation to unnecessary risks, pushing Sweden deeper into foreign conflicts that do not align with its true interests. And yet, the government presses on, choosing to ignore the glaring flaws in their reasoning and the mounting evidence of failure in their foreign policy.

The current administration seems completely incapable of distinguishing between policies that benefit the nation and those that merely serve the egos of foreign powers or corporate interests. Their focus is no longer on the welfare of the people; it is on maintaining power and appeasing external forces. It is a political class that has lost touch with the basic principles of governance—stability, progress, and the well-being of citizens. Instead, they are fixated on consolidating their influence at the expense of the national interest.

What is worse, this lack of competence is compounded by a deeply concerning willingness to manipulate and deceive the public. They use fear and misinformation as tools to justify their reckless decisions. They whip up hysteria about external threats that may not even exist, all to distract from their own failures and to manipulate public opinion. By constantly feeding a narrative of crisis and war, they are exploiting the vulnerability of the people, encouraging them to sacrifice their own rights and resources in the name of false security.

This toxic combination of ignorance, fear-mongering, and ideological rigidity is poisoning the very core of the nation's decision-making process. The country's future is at risk, and the government has shown no interest in protecting it. They do not care about the long-term consequences of their actions, as long as their short-term goals are met. The moral and intellectual bankruptcy of the current leadership is staggering.

The current government's actions are a betrayal of the people they claim to represent. They are putting their own political interests and those of foreign powers ahead of the national interest, recklessly jeopardizing the country's security and stability. They have neither the competence to govern nor the moral clarity to make the hard choices that are necessary for the country's survival. The people of Sweden deserve leaders who are capable, informed, and truly dedicated to their well-being—not those who use their position for personal gain or to further the interests of others at the expense of their own nation.

The failure of the government to act in the best interests of the country has become all too clear. National security is no longer a priority; securing power for themselves and their allies has become their only concern. This is a political class that no longer serves the people but serves itself and the foreign interests that pull its strings. If Sweden is to survive and thrive in the future, it is essential

that this incompetent, self-serving government be held accountable for its actions and replaced by leaders who prioritize the national interest above all else.

Request for Action:

In light of these grave and deeply concerning issues, I respectfully request that the **European Court of Human Rights** take immediate and decisive action to address the widespread and systemic violations of human rights taking place in Sweden. The government's actions represent a clear breach of fundamental rights, particularly through racial discrimination and the persecution of non-White citizens, including those of Black, Brown, and Yellow (Asian) descent. These groups are facing relentless marginalization and exclusion, perpetuated by government policies designed to make their lives harder, less secure, and more fraught with injustice.

Therefore, I respectfully request that the European Court of Human Rights:

1. **Investigate and hold accountable the government of Sweden for its ongoing and systematic violation of human rights**, particularly in relation to racial discrimination, xenophobia, and the treatment of non-White citizens. The government has disproportionately targeted Black, Brown, and Yellow (Asian) communities through policies that marginalize them and subject them to unfair and discriminatory treatment. This has led to an environment of increased hostility and fear, where entire communities are scapegoated, excluded, and discriminated against by both the state and the general public. These actions represent a clear violation of international law, including the **European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)** and the **International Covenant on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD)**.
2. **Enforce the fundamental rights of all citizens**, ensuring **equal treatment under the law** and guaranteeing that policies based on racial and ethnic discrimination are immediately nullified. The government's discriminatory practices, including segregating non-White communities, restricting their access to education, healthcare, employment, and housing, and enacting laws that disproportionately impact Black, Brown, and Yellow citizens, represent a direct violation of basic human rights. Such policies are not only unjust but are also damaging to the social fabric of Sweden, perpetuating inequality and division. The Court must ensure that the government ends these discriminatory practices and enforces policies that uphold the **right to equality** and **non-discrimination** as enshrined in the **ECHR**.

Moreover, the government's actions have fostered a climate of hate and intolerance, in which non-White groups are frequently blamed for social and economic issues, and are subjected to public hostility. This is especially troubling given the historical context of similar discrimination faced by Black, Brown, and Yellow citizens in the past. It is essential that the European Court demands an immediate end to policies that foster racial and ethnic division and that the government be held accountable for creating this toxic environment.

3. **Issue a ruling that sends a strong message to Sweden and the rest of Europe**, denouncing the systematic discrimination of non-White citizens and warning against similar practices in other European countries. Discrimination based on race and ethnicity must not be tolerated in any form, and the European Court has a responsibility to ensure that no government can act with impunity in persecuting its own citizens on the basis of race or ethnicity. A ruling from the Court must not only demand the cessation of discriminatory policies in Sweden but also set a strong legal precedent for other European nations, reaffirming the commitment to equality and justice for all people, regardless of their racial or ethnic background.





The government's actions against non-White communities are not isolated incidents, but part of a larger pattern of racial exclusion and bigotry that risks tearing apart the social fabric of Sweden. The Court must send a strong message that these discriminatory policies are not only unjust but incompatible with the values of the European Union and international human rights law.

Furthermore, the Court should make clear that **reparations** for the victims of racial discrimination, including those who have been wrongfully detained, excluded from essential services, or suffered physical harm due to government-sanctioned hate and racism, must be part of the reparative justice process. The Court should mandate that the government of Sweden implement reforms to address the root causes of inequality and ensure that such violations never happen again.

The Need for Greater Consideration of Islam and Muslims in Government Policy:

In addition to the broader racial discrimination against non-White citizens, there is a specific need for the government to reconsider its approach to Islam and its treatment of Muslim communities in Sweden. It is not enough to simply condemn attacks on Muslim individuals or institutions after they happen; **proactive measures** must be taken to ensure that **hate attacks**—whether they target Muslim communities directly, their holy books, or their revered prophet—are **banned and prosecuted** with the utmost severity.

The government, as well as other European countries, must recognize that **Muslims are an integral part of society**, and their right to live free from discrimination, hatred, and violence must be upheld. It is essential that new laws and policies be designed to **protect Muslim citizens** from hate speech, violent acts, and systematic marginalization. This includes a strong **ban on hate attacks**, especially those that target sacred aspects of Islam, such as the burning of the Qur'an and insults against the Prophet Muhammad. These actions are not just religious offenses; they are **incitements to violence**, and they must be unequivocally prohibited.

Furthermore, the government and European nations must take steps toward building **bridges** with Muslim communities, rather than continuing to fuel a climate of division and fear. **Muslims are citizens of Sweden**, and their contributions to the country should be celebrated, not scorned. The ongoing attacks, discrimination, and hostility toward Muslims must be stopped, and the government must take responsibility for creating a more inclusive and respectful society. The policies should move away from alienating and criminalizing Muslim citizens, and instead foster dialogue, mutual respect, and peaceful coexistence.

If we are to have a just and peaceful society, the government must demonstrate a genuine commitment to building **unity** and **understanding**, not perpetuating divisions and hatred. This is a crucial moment in Europe's history, and the actions taken now will determine whether we will live up to the values of **human dignity, equality, and non-discrimination** that are the foundation of the European Union.

Request for Immediate Action:

In addition to the serious racial discrimination and religious persecution taking place in Sweden, I urge the European Court of Human Rights to address and take immediate action against several other deeply concerning and discriminatory practices being implemented by the government. These practices—specifically, **search zones**, **discriminatory nationality checks**, and the **proposal to strip citizenship**—are blatant violations of international human rights law and must be immediately stopped to prevent further harm and injustice.

1. End the Practice of Search Zones:

One of the most disturbing developments in Sweden is the introduction of “**search zones**” in 2024, justified under the pretext of combating criminal activity in certain neighborhoods. However, these zones have been **disproportionately concentrated in areas with high concentrations of migrant communities**, particularly those of Black, Brown, and Yellow (Asian) descent. This practice has led to widespread **racial profiling** and the **systematic targeting** of non-white citizens, who are regularly stopped, searched, and detained without cause.

The law enforcement authorities have subjected individuals within these zones to invasive and humiliating searches, forced disclosure of personal information such as **phone contents**, **bank details**, and even demanded **DNA samples**. This is not only an egregious violation of privacy, but it is also a clear breach of multiple **international human rights norms**, including **Article 5** of the **European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)**, which protects individuals from **arbitrary detention**, and **Article 14**, which guarantees **freedom from discrimination**.

Such measures disproportionately affect non-white communities, creating an environment of fear and suspicion. The practice of **racial profiling** is institutionalized within law enforcement, encouraging the criminalization of specific communities based solely on their skin color or ethnicity. The European Court must demand that the government of Sweden **immediately cease the implementation of search zones** and provide **accountability for the harm caused** to those affected by this policy.

2. Discriminatory Nationality Checks and Racial Profiling at Borders:

The issue of racial profiling also extends to the government’s **discriminatory nationality checks**, particularly at the borders and within the country’s internal borders. Authorities have been conducting checks in a manner that **assumes non-white individuals are non-citizens**, while white individuals are automatically presumed to be citizens. This discriminatory practice reinforces a racial hierarchy that treats non-white citizens as second-class members of society.

This violation goes beyond the borders themselves and significantly impacts **freedom of movement** within the country. **Article 12** of the **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)** guarantees the **freedom of movement** for all individuals within their own country, and this discriminatory practice infringes upon that right. It also perpetuates racial inequality and **alienates migrant communities**, making them feel unwelcome and unsafe in their own country.

The European Court must require the **immediate cessation of these discriminatory nationality checks**, and demand that **all citizens be treated equally**, regardless of their ethnicity or race. This practice is not only illegal but morally indefensible, and it further entrenches divisions within Sweden.



3. Proposal to Strip Citizenship Based on Ethnicity:

Perhaps the most disturbing and regressive policy currently being considered by the government is the proposal to **strip citizenship** from individuals of **Black, Brown, or Yellow (Asian) descent** if they commit certain crimes. This proposal is an attempt to create a **racially discriminatory system** where non-white individuals are punished more severely than their white counterparts. The idea that only **Black, Brown, and Yellow citizens** would face the prospect of **citizenship revocation** for criminal activity is deeply troubling and represents a **clear violation of human rights**.

This policy would not only violate **Article 2 of Protocol No. 4 of the ECHR**, which guarantees the **right to freedom of movement and protection from arbitrary expulsion**, but it would also breach the **ICCPR**, which demands that all individuals be treated equally under the law, regardless of race or ethnicity. To propose such a policy is to undermine the very **principles of equality** that underpin democratic societies. It is an attempt to create a **two-tiered system** of citizenship, where individuals are treated differently based on their racial or ethnic background.

The government must **immediately withdraw this proposal** and uphold the fundamental rights of all citizens, ensuring that **citizenship** is not subject to racial or ethnic discrimination. This policy would set a dangerous precedent, allowing for the creation of **racially-based laws** that discriminate against minority communities.

The Government's Obligations:

These practices—**search zones, discriminatory nationality checks**, and the **proposal to strip citizenship**—are not just violations of human rights; they are a direct attack on the principles of **equality, freedom, and justice** that Sweden claims to uphold. These policies are creating a toxic environment in which non-white citizens, particularly those of Black, Brown, and Yellow descent, are **systematically oppressed** and denied the same basic rights and freedoms that are granted to their white counterparts.

The government's actions are fostering a climate of **division, suspicion, and racial intolerance** that threatens the fabric of Sweden's society. It is imperative that the **European Court of Human Rights** intervene and demand the **immediate cessation** of these discriminatory policies. The government must be held accountable for the damage it has caused, and must be required to implement **structural reforms** to address these injustices.

Conclusion:

The government of Sweden must take immediate action to correct the discriminatory policies that target Black, Brown, and Yellow (Asian) citizens and undermine the fundamental principles of equality, non-discrimination, and freedom. The government must **end the practice of search zones**, halt **discriminatory nationality checks**, and **withdraw any proposals to strip citizenship** based on race or ethnicity.

The European Court of Human Rights has the responsibility to ensure that the government is held accountable for these violations and to protect the rights of all citizens, regardless of their racial or ethnic background. The time to act is now.

Thank you for your attention to this pressing matter.
Sincerely,

Saliel Ginninns

Saliel Ginninns
The Messenger of god

Stockholm 2026-01-06

ATTN: Saliel Ginninns

Poste Restante - Postnord Stockholm
Klarabergsviadukten 84
SE- 101 10 Stockholm

Email: pbuh@salielginninns.se

ATTN: Swedish Government

Drottninggatan 3
SE 103 33 Stockholm

Email: forvaltningsavdelningen.registrator@gov.se

“HELP, THE MONKEYS TOOK OVER THE CAR, BUT
NONE OF THEM CAN DRIVE IT.”

- *A plea for competence in leadership when those in charge have no real ability to guide the situation.*

